



King County
Department of Development
and Environmental Services
900 Oakesdale Avenue Southwest
Renton, WA 98055-1219



RECEIVED

SEP 3 1998

CITY OF KENMORE

September 1, 1998

TO: Lakepointe Review Team

FM: Priscilla Kaufmann, Planner III

RE: Appeal of Lakepointe Commercial Site Development Permit (B96CS005)

The Lakepointe Commercial Site Development Permit (B96CS05) was appealed by Daniel R. Olsen on August 27, 1998. Significant adverse traffic impacts were identified as the basis of the appeal. The appellant is required to file a statement of appeal by Thursday, September 3, 1998, which identifies the decision being appealed and the alleged errors in that decision. In addition, the statement of appeal must state the specific reasons why the decision should be reverse or modified, the harm suffered or anticipated by the appellant, and the relief sought. The attached letter may meet the requirements for the statement of appeal, but Mr. Olsen was advised to submit something in writing that is clearly identified as the statement of appeal so that his appeal is not thrown out on a technicality. I will forward any additional information I receive from him.

The City of Kenmore is now the jurisdiction with authority and will be responsible for hearing the appeal. At this time I do not know what role King County will play in the appeal. As soon as I have any information from the City of Kenmore I will let you know.

Call me at (206) 296-7284 if you have any additional questions.

To: Building Services Division Manager
King County Department of Development and Environmental Services
Building Services Division - Permit Service Center
900 Oakesdale Avenue Southwest
Renton, WA 98055-1219

From: Daniel R. Olsen
6504 Northeast 196th Street
Seattle, WA 98155-3462

423 489
0491

Date: 08/26/98

Re: Appeal of Lake Pointe Commercial Site Development Permit and Master Plan (B96C5005,
A95 P 0105)

In accordance with the Notice of Decision for the Commercial Site Development Permit and Master Plan, with this letter I appeal the decision for the reasons listed below. Enclosed is the \$125.00 appeal fee.

The Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS) of July, 1998 states:

Overall delay would be one-and-a-third to one-and-one-half times greater with the project than without it. In addition, congestion at more distant intersections would increase significantly during both the AM and PM peak hours with the Proposed Action. While the Lakepointe project would include the construction of Lakepointe Way NE and a significant amount of other transportation improvements, the proposed development would result in significant adverse traffic impacts that cannot be mitigated.

The above summary text of the analysis is an insufficient response to concerns raised in citizen comment letters, regardless of added information in the SEIS updated transportation analysis (1998 Update). We who use roadways near the proposed Lakepointe Development as arterial routes for traveling to and from work daily deserve a more satisfactory design for incorporating solutions to adverse effects on traffic before permit approval is granted. Dealing with the issues as a prerequisite to permit approval will prevent future added expenses when conditions leave no choice but to address the issues. If solutions are already underway, this appeal could be satisfied through provision of sufficient information in explanation of such solutions.

Without specific plans to avoid inevitable increased traffic congestion from the Development as predicted in the SEIS, permission to proceed with the Commercial Site Development Permit and Master Plan constitutes documented certain further deterioration in environmental conditions for residents, as well as for anyone traveling through the area surrounding the project. Until a strategy that speaks to these issues is in place, this appeal calls for the postponement of permit approval for the Lakepointe Commercial Site Development Permit and Master Plan.

Sincerely,

Daniel R. Olsen